

# Taking Action: Revised CCPC tax proposals

## What you need to know (and do) now

Debbie Pearl-Weinberg

Executive Director, Tax and Estate Planning, CIBC Financial Planning and Advice

Jamie Golombek

Managing Director, Tax & Estate Planning, CIBC Financial Planning and Advice

*During “Small Business Week”<sup>1</sup>, which took place from October 15 to 21, 2017, the federal government announced substantial modifications to the proposals for taxation of private corporations that had been introduced by the Department of Finance on July 18, 2017. The originally-proposed measures focused on three areas: income sprinkling among family members, passive investment income earned within corporations and converting regular income from a corporation into capital gains. The government had invited interested parties to comment on the proposals by October 2, 2017 and they ultimately received over 21,000 submissions from various business groups, industry associations and other interested parties. In response to comments received, the Department of Finance made a series of announcements modifying and, in some cases, withdrawing some of the proposals.*

*If you own a private corporation, it may be affected should the revised proposals become law.*

*This report will generally review the proposals, as well as the announced modifications, and set out steps that you may wish to consider. If you have a private corporation structure (including a professional corporation), or are thinking of setting up a private corporation, you should contact a tax advisor to discuss how these steps may apply in your particular circumstances.*

### Income Sprinkling

#### Income Splitting

By sprinkling income from a corporation among family members, rather than having one individual receive all of the income, the overall tax paid by the family may be reduced if some of the family members are taxed at a lower tax rate than the individual or pay no tax at all. Currently there are anti-avoidance measures in place to limit this, such as the current “kiddie tax” that results in certain dividends paid to children under age 18 being taxed at the highest rate.

## July 18, 2017 Proposals

Effective for 2018, the proposed changes would expand the kiddie tax rules so that they would apply to more types of income and would also cover certain adults (the “split income” rules). The new split income rules would look at whether income received by an adult individual is “reasonable,” taking into account the person’s labour and capital contributions to the business along with any previous returns and remuneration, in comparison to a situation where an arm’s length investment was made.

These new rules would likely affect anyone who has done an estate freeze. An estate freeze is a corporate reorganization whereby the fair market value of a business is “frozen” by exchanging common shares for preferred shares, with a redemption value equal to the fair market value of the company. New common shares that accumulate future growth are then frequently issued to family members or, more commonly, to a trust that has family members as beneficiaries. The split income rules will subject dividends paid on most shares received on an estate freeze to tax at the highest rate. If those shares are sold at a gain, this gain would also be considered split income and taxed at the highest rate.

### Example

*Assume Pat invested \$100,000 in a private corporation run by her mother. In return for that investment, she got preferred shares paying a 5% dividend rate. According to the government paper, this level of investment income should be considered reasonable, given that an arm’s length investor would expect to receive a 4 – 6% return.*

*Suppose, on the other hand, that Pat had received her common shares in the course of an estate freeze and had paid only a nominal amount. If she received the same amount of dividends, they*

*would not likely be considered “reasonable,” given that her capital contribution to the business was nominal, so she would pay tax on the dividends at the highest marginal rate.*

The rules for individuals under age 25 are even more expansive. For the purposes of determining a “reasonable” return on a capital contribution, this age group would be limited to receiving a rate of return equal to the government’s prescribed rate of interest (currently 1% until December 31, 2017). Even if the individual provided labour to the corporation, unless this labour was “regular, continuous and substantial,” any dividends paid in excess of the prescribed rate would be subject to the split income rules.

### Small Business Week Announcement

Concern over the complexity of this proposed change, and its unintended consequences, were expressed in the submissions received by the government. As a result, the government announced on October 16, 2017 that it “will simplify the proposed measures with the aim of providing greater certainty for family members who contribute to a family business.” Specifically, it was indicated that work will be done towards reducing the compliance burden associated with this proposal.

The consultation process also raised concerns that investors in start-up businesses (“angel investors”) may not be able to be adequately compensated under the proposed “reasonableness” test. On October 20, 2017 the government addressed this matter by recognizing that, “An investment in a start-up carries greater risk and may warrant a relatively high return under a reasonableness standard.”

Revised draft legislation incorporating these changes will be released later in the fall of 2017.

**Action Items:**

- If your private corporation has other shareholders, such as your spouse, partner, or other adult relatives<sup>2</sup> as shareholders, consider whether it makes sense to pay additional dividends to family members who are in lower tax brackets in 2017 to maximize any income sprinkling opportunities before any proposed rules could increase the tax rate on such income commencing in 2018.
- Starting in 2018, consider delaying dividend payments to related adults who have made capital contributions to a private corporation until they have reached 25 years of age.
- For 2018, review your dividend compensation strategy for any related adults over age 25 for services provided to your private corporation as they could potentially be considered split income and subject to tax at the top rate.
- Consider the full effect of these proposed rules before finalizing any contemplated estate freeze transactions. Dividends and gains earned after 2017 on shares purchased for a nominal amount may be subject to tax at the highest rate.
- Consider these proposed rules before setting up a new business with funding or a guarantee from a family member. Dividends, interest and gains earned after 2017 on shares / debt held by the family member could be caught by the new rules and taxed at the highest tax rate if they are not considered “reasonable.” If you borrow to put capital into a private corporation, and the debt is guaranteed by a relative, the capital contributed by you may be disregarded for purposes of determining a reasonable rate of return.
- Review the share structure of any private corporations to determine if more than one shareholder own shares of the same class. Corporate law might require you pay the same amount of dividends to all shareholders of the

same class of shares. If you cannot pay dividends to one shareholder without causing another shareholder to be taxed at the highest tax rate on dividends received by them, you may consider a corporate reorganization so that the shareholders own shares of different classes.

**Lifetime Capital Gains Exemption (“LCGE”) - WITHDRAWN****July 18, 2017 Proposals**

The government expressed concern that the LCGE<sup>3</sup> is being multiplied within related groups, often by having a family trust be a shareholder, allowing more than one individual to claim the LCGE to reduce the taxable capital gain realized on the disposition of private corporation shares. Effective for dispositions of shares after 2017, three measures were proposed to prevent this from occurring. First, the LCGE would not be available for gains, either realized or accruing, before an individual is 18 years old. Second, if a capital gain were subject to the new tax on split income rules discussed above, then it would not be eligible for the LCGE. And finally, except for certain exceptions, beneficiaries of trusts would no longer be able to claim the LCGE.

Transitional rules were proposed to permit some shareholders to elect to “crystallize”<sup>4</sup> a capital gain in 2018 so that they could still claim the LCGE.

**Small Business Week Announcement**

Concern was expressed to the government about potential unintended consequences of this proposed measure, such as the possible impact on intergenerational transfers to family members. In response, it was announced on **October 16, 2017 that the government will not be proceeding with the measures previously proposed to limit access to the LCGE.**

## Passive Investment Income

One of the goals of the current system for taxing private corporations is that after-tax income earned through a corporation is approximately equal to after-tax income earned by an individual directly, after taking into account the tax liability on the dividend paid to remove the funds from the corporation.

That is,

	Corporate taxes on earnings
+	Personal taxes on dividends
<hr/>	
=	Personal taxes on income otherwise earned directly

The tax rate on income earned in a corporation is generally much lower than the top personal marginal tax rate for an individual income earner; consequently, until income is withdrawn from a corporation as a dividend, there is more after-tax income to invest within the corporation than there would be if the income was earned by the individual.<sup>5</sup>

As these funds are invested inside the corporation over long periods of time, a shareholder can end up with more after-tax income from the corporation at the end of the investment period because of the higher starting capital. This is commonly referred to as the “tax deferral advantage.” Where income earned in the corporation is taxed at the lower small business rate, the tax deferral advantage, ranging from 35% to 40% in 2017,<sup>6</sup> is magnified. The government considers this unfair and would like to neutralize this tax deferral.

### Example

*Amira is an Ontario resident and pays tax at the top marginal tax rate. If she, as a “sole proprietor,” earns \$10,000 of business income personally from an unincorporated manufacturing business, after paying taxes she would have*

*approximately \$4,700 left for investment purposes. If, however, Amira earned that \$10,000 through a private corporation paying tax at the small business tax rate, the private corporation would be left with \$8,500 after-tax to invest. Even though the after-tax business income and the investment income would be taxable in Amira’s hands once paid out as a dividend, she is likely to end up with more after-tax income from the private corporation at the end of the investment period because of the higher starting capital of \$8,500 rather than \$4,700.*

### July 18, 2017 Proposals

The government paper provided two potential approaches to end this deferral but draft legislation was not included. The approach that the government appears to be considering would make the currently refundable tax on investment income no longer refundable, unless the investments arose from capital contributions from shareholders. It has been estimated that this would result in a tax rate of over 70% on certain passive income earned within a private corporation and approaching 60% on capital gains. It was expressed that any new rules would apply on a go-forward basis.

### Small Business Week Announcement

On October 18, 2017 the government announced that this measure will now be limited in scope: there will be a \$50,000 annual investment income threshold before this new tax regime will apply. Mention was made that this would be the return on \$1 million of investments, assuming a 5% rate of return. The government estimates that only 3% of private corporations earn more than this amount in a year. The purpose of this threshold is to permit savings within private corporations for such uses as funding a parental or sick leave, so as to alleviate concerns raised during the consultation process.

The government also reinforced previous announcements that the new rules will apply on a go-forward basis only. This refers to both investments and income earned on those investments.

Further, on October 20, 2017 the government acknowledged concerns raised by the venture capital community, which pointed out that private corporations are an important source of funding for this sector. Although no assurance was provided, the government indicated that in developing the new rules, they will consider whether capital gains from the sale of shares of a corporation that carries on an active business should be excluded.

Draft legislation to implement this proposal will be released as part of the 2018 federal budget.

#### Action Items:

- Consider withdrawing sufficient salary from a private corporation to maximize contributions to RRSPs and TFSAs. Since the rules should only be applied prospectively, there should not be a need to withdraw already-taxed retained earnings from your corporation. The new rules, when enacted, would only tax future investment income on future earnings at the higher, non-refundable, rate of tax.
- If these rules come into play in the future, consider if an Individual Pension Plan or corporate-owned life insurance may be appropriate.

### Converting Income into Capital Gains (WITHDRAWN)

There are currently anti-avoidance rules in place to prevent converting dividend income from private corporations to capital gains, which are taxed at a lower tax rate. The government was concerned that the current anti-avoidance rules do

not specifically address certain transactions that they consider to be abusive.

#### July 18, 2017 Proposals

The paper and draft legislation contained an expansion of the current rules which were proposed to be effective July 18, 2017. The proposed rules would have impacted certain post-mortem tax planning that provides relief from double taxation where private corporation shares are held at death. This is commonly referred to as a “pipeline transaction.”

The rules could also have treated capital gains realized on the disposition of private corporation shares to a family member as dividends. This was in addition to the gain being subject to the highest tax rate if it is considered split income.

#### Small Business Week Announcement

In response to concerns expressed during the consultation period, **the government announced on October 19, 2017 that it will not be moving forward with the proposals regarding converting of income into capital gains.**

### Conclusion

The proposals released on July 18, 2017 are extremely complex. The consultation process between the Department of Finance and interested parties resulted in a number of modifications to the proposals. Those who may be impacted should consult a tax advisor to determine any actions that they may wish to take.

**Debbie.Pearl-Weinberg@cibc.com**

Debbie Pearl-Weinberg, LLB is Executive Director, Tax & Estate Planning with CIBC Financial Planning and Advice in Toronto

**Jamie.Golombek@cibc.com**

Jamie Golombek, CPA, CA, CFP, CLU, TEP is Managing Director, Tax & Estate Planning with CIBC Financial Planning and Advice in Toronto

- <sup>1</sup> Small Business Week is an annual celebration of entrepreneurship that the Business Development Bank of Canada (BDC) has organized for almost 40 years.
- <sup>2</sup> Relatives (and related) for this purpose includes not only children, parents and spouses / common-law partners, but also grandparents, siblings, in-laws, aunts and uncles, and nieces and nephews.
- <sup>3</sup> The LCGE exempts the first \$835,716 (2017 amount) of lifetime capital gains on the sale of qualifying small business corporation shares from tax. For qualified farm or fishing property, the exemption is \$1 million.
- <sup>4</sup> Crystallization is the act of recognizing a capital gain (or loss) for tax purposes which doesn't necessarily involve an actual sale to a third-party. In this context, it is a "deemed sale" for tax purposes.
- <sup>5</sup> Assuming the shareholder pays tax at the top marginal tax rate.
- <sup>6</sup> On October 16, 2017, the government announced that it will be decreasing the federal income tax rate on small business income, which would also increase the tax deferral advantage, by 0.5% in 2018 and by a further 1% in 2019.



**Disclaimer:**

As with all planning strategies, you should seek the advice of a qualified tax advisor.

This report is published by CIBC with information that is believed to be accurate at the time of publishing. CIBC and its subsidiaries and affiliates are not liable for any errors or omissions. This report is intended to provide general information and should not be construed as specific legal, lending, or tax advice. Individual circumstances and current events are critical to sound planning; anyone wishing to act on the information in this report should consult with his or her financial advisor and tax specialist.

CIBC Cube Design is a trademark of CIBC.